

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 09/497,552 02/03/00 OLIVA 3572-15 G **EXAMINER** Г MM91/1106 Nixon & Vanderhye P C CCTCO **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1100 N Glebe Road 8th Floor Arlington VA 22201 2873 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/06/01

13

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|------------------------|---|--|
| • | | 09/497,552 | | |
| , s ^a | Office Action Summary | Examiner | OLIVA, GUIDO MAURIZIO Art Unit | |
| • | • | Evelyn A. Lester | 2873 | |
| - | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A | ugust 2001 . | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3) 🗌 | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-10,16-28,30 and 31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,11-15,29</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of: | | | | |
| , | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | | |
| | <u> </u> | | n Application No | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> . | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/497,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-5, 11-15 and 29) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 6-10, 16-28 and 30-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Europe on October 26, 1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the European application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the legal language at lines 6-8. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 2873

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 11-15 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Plesko (U.S. patent 5,886,332).

Please note Figures 9-11E and their accompanying text.

Plesko discloses the claimed invention of an optical device for focusing a laser beam which comprises a focusing lens(2, 320) upon which the laser beam (from source S) is directed and a first mean for selection only a central portion of the laser beam wherein the first means is directly

Application/Control Number: 09/497,552 Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

applied on the focusing lens. Further wherein the laser beam is substantially elliptical and

astigmatic beam.

With respect to claims 11-15 and 29, please note text at column 7, lines 3-63.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The

prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the combination of claimed elements and limitations,

especially including the specific Fresnel number range(s).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to E.A. Lester whose telephone number is (703) 308-4943. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday (except for first Fridays of a biweek) from about 8:30 am

to 6 pm.

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps, can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax number for Technology Center 2800 is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Patent Examiner

AU 2873

November 4, 2001